

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.      | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO.         |  |  |
|----------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| 10/009,022           | 12/03/2001     | Boaz Harari          | 687-412             | 5829                     |  |  |
| 7:                   | 590 08/04/2004 |                      | EXAM                | EXAMINER                 |  |  |
| Jeffrey J Hohenshell |                |                      | PHILOGENE, PEDRO    |                          |  |  |
| AMS Research         | Corporation    |                      |                     |                          |  |  |
| 10700 Bren Ro        | ad West        |                      | ART UNIT            | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER    |  |  |
| Minnetonka, M        | 1A 55343       |                      | 3732                |                          |  |  |
|                      |                |                      | D. TEL              | DATE MAIL ED. 00/04/2004 |  |  |

DATE MAILED: 08/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |   |   |   | 1W  |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|---|-----|--|--|--|
|  |   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |     |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  |   | 10/009,022  | HARARI ET AL.   |     |  |  |  |
|  |   | Examiner  | Art Unit  |     |  |  |  |
|  |   | Pedro Philogene   | 3732  |     |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply   |   |   |   |     |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |   |   |     |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |   |   |     |  |  |  |
| 1)   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on   |   |   |     |  |  |  |
| •  |   | s action is non-final.  |   |     |  |  |  |
| -/-  | - ·   |   |   |     |  |  |  |
|  | closed in accordance with the practice under  | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.  | 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |     |  |  |  |
| Dispositi  | on of Claims  |   |   |     |  |  |  |
| 5)□<br>6)⊠<br>7)□  | 4) Claim(s) 63 and 65 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 63 and 65 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. |   |   |     |  |  |  |
| Applicati  | on Papers   |   |   |     |  |  |  |
| 10)  | The specification is objected to by the Examina The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc The oath or declaration is objected to by the E  | cepted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance tion is required if the drawing(s) | e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.           | ` ' |  |  |  |
| Priority u   | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |   |     |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some col None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |   |   |   |     |  |  |  |
| Attachment   | • •   | 🗖   |   |     |  |  |  |
| 2) 🔲 Notice<br>3) 🔯 Inforn   | e of References Cited (PTO-892)<br>e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)<br>nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>No(s)/Mail Date 5/10/04;03/02/04.   | Paper No(s)/l   | nmary (PTO-413)<br>Mail Date<br>rmal Patent Application (PTO-152) | )   |  |  |  |

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 63,65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pietrzak et al (5,527,342) in view of Sohn (WO/97/47246).

With respect to claims 63,65, Pietrzak et al discloses a detachable tip (10) for a needle comprising a tip (12) having a sharp end and adapted for boring through bone, an extension (16) of the tip apposite of the sharp end and a substantially longer than the sharp tip, attached to a thread (50).

It is noted that Pietrzak et al did not teach of a flexible extension and a sharp end adapted for being grasped by a hollow needle, at a side of the sharp tip opposite of the extension or at a side of the extension; as claimed by applicant. However, in a similar art, Sohn evidences the use of a device with a flexible extension and a sharp end adapted for being grasped by a hollow needle, at a side of the sharp tip opposite of the extension or at a side of the extension to prevent cracking and chipping of bone.

Therefore, given the teaching of Sohn, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Pietrzak et al., as taught by Sohn to prevent cracking and shipping of bone.

Application/Control Number: 10/009,022 Page 3

Art Unit: 3732

## Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments filed 05/06/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, the motivation is found in the fact that both applications are related to suture anchors. The fact that one anchor is permanently left in the body is irrelevant.

Applicant's arguments fail to comply with 37 CFR 1.111(b) because they amount to a general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references.

Applicant's arguments do not comply with 37 CFR 1.111(c) because they do not clearly point out the patentable novelty which he or she thinks the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made. Further, they do not show how the amendments avoid such references or objections.

## Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pedro Philogene whose telephone number is (703) 308-2252. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P Shaver can be reached on (703) 308-2582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/009,022 Page 5

Art Unit: 3732

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Pedro Philogene August 02, 2004

PEDRO PHILOGENE